## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION

In this chapter researcher discuss about research finding and discussion. In research finding and discussion the researcher will describe the results of the research from the research instrument namely the documentation, t -test, and the results obtained by students in the pretest and posttest. The point in this chapter will be explain by researcher that are presentation data, hypothesis testing and the last is discussion of finding.
A. Presentation of Data

Based on the results of the researchers analysis when looking at the situation that occurred in the multimedia class before doing the research, many students felt bored with English lessons so, that they were very difficult to understand every vocabulary, they always felt class atsmosphere was boring and uncomfortable so they were lazy to learn better in understanding English vocabulary, even though English is one of the most important lessons for multimedia students, therefore the researchers want to research by providing a method of religious songs to students vocabulary mastery. a stated in the previous chapter, there are two problems that have been formulated in this study. The first research problem is, is there any significant difference in the vocabulary mastery between the tenth grade multimedia students of SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN who were study by religious songs and those who do not, this research problem aims to find out the significant difference the vocabulary mastery between of tenth grade students who study by religious songs and who do not study by religious songs. The second problem is How the results of average difference in vocabulary mastery between tenth grade multimedia students, who study vocabulary using religious songs and those who study do not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN, this research problem aims to know the results of average difference vocabulary mastery between tenth grade multimedia
students, who study vocabulary using religious songs and who do not study using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN. The researcher conducted this research with the aim of seeing the effectiveness using religious songs to students vocabulary mastery, and also to measure the use religious song technique can make classroom atsmosphere fun so that students are more enthusiastic about learning and increase their vocabulary mastery. in this research, researchers used religious songs as treatment for the experiment group because all Multimedia students are Pamekasan Madurese, and the majority of these students are muslim. When viewed from cultural perspective, religious songs are not foreign to students, because when viewed from an environmental perspective, religious songs are songs that are often played by the public in certain events, not only in events but also sometimes as songs entertainment for community. Religious songs have changed a lot a long with the times, namely by changing the tone and tempo, but in the lyrics of the songs it still constain praise to the prophet Muhammad and contains the motivaton of goodness. Religious songs also contain a lot of vocabulary that students can use to increase their vocabulary mastery, not only that there are many moral messages in religious songs that canbe useful for students. Therefore, in this research, researchers used religious songs to increase students vocabulary mastery. the religious songs used by researchers in this research is a song by Maher zain entitled "Peace be upon you" and "insha Allah" because the song has a vocabulary that students can easily understand and can increase students vocabulary mastery.

The researcher conducts research and after getting the data will present the data that has been obtained. In this research test and documentation are used by researchers to obtain data as an instrument in obtaining research data. The researcher will describe the data obtained by the researcher during the research process. And the following are test results data and documentation as a data collection method related to variable X (Religious Songs as a media) and variable Y (the tenth grade
multimedia students Vocabulary Mastery). In this research the researcher using two group design as a sample of the population, namely experimental design and control design. The researcher gave a test that was used as a t-test to the students of class tenth grade Multimedia students SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN which consisted of two classes, namely classes Multimedia 1 and Multimedia 2 with a total 62 students. There are two test that will be given by researcher, namely pre-test and post-test and using instrument of the test.

1. Data presentation of the test
a. The presentation of pre-test

As a researcher explained in chapter III, the researcher using a test to measure the effectiveness of using religious songs for students vocabulary mastery obtained from the score from the test carried out. In this research there are 20 questions multiple choice about vocabulary. The researcher give the score 5 if students correct the answer and will get 0 if students wrong the answer. If students can answer all the question correctly then they will get good value that is score 100. This pre-test was given to students in the experimental class and control class before the students received treatment which aims to determine the extend of the students vocabulary mastery was given before being give religious songs treatment. This research started on $19^{\text {th }}$ oktober 2021 and ended on $7^{\text {th }}$ november 2021. And students pre-test score data will be displayed in the table 4.

Table 4.1
Result of Pre-Test Score

| No | Name of students | Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Abdil Maula Arifin | 35 |
| 2 | Achmad Faisal | 35 |
| 3 | Afrisda Dwi Maulia A. | 55 |
| 4 | Alan Maulana Ibrahim | 35 |


| 5 | Andi Nurus Setiawan | 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Angga Yudha Pratama P. | 50 |
| 7 | Aynani Agustin | 40 |
| 8 | Dani Riski Tri Yunata P. | 45 |
| 9 | Dwi Anggun Puspita | 45 |
| 10 | Eko Setia Khoirul A. | 70 |
| 11 | Haikal | 45 |
| 12 | Haikal Gibran Syahbani | 60 |
| 13 | Irshad Ilahi | 50 |
| 14 | Karta Iskandar | 35 |
| 15 | M. Bhisma Okto Javan | 35 |
| 16 | M. Fajri Alamsyah | 50 |
| 17 | Mely Susantika Dewi | 40 |
| 18 | Moh. Nur Alfian | 45 |
| 19 | Moh. Riyan Qomaruddin | 45 |
| 20 | Moh Naufal Haidar S. | 45 |
| 21 | Nabila Almanova | 60 |
| 22 | Nizar Zakaria Putra | 45 |
| 23 | Noval Agus Ferdiansyah | 45 |
| 24 | R. Agung Ferdiansyah | 50 |
| 25 | Raden Bintang Syahputra | 35 |
| 26 | Rifka Febriani | 55 |
| 27 | Serly Dwi Rahayu | 50 |
| 28 | Sinta Paulista | 35 |
| 29 | Slamet Riyadi | 60 |
| 30 | Verri Yanto | 45 |
| 31 | Zainur Rohman | 45 |
| 32 | Abel Mehola Naya W. | 35 |
| 33 | Achmad Fawaid Azhari | 40 |
| 34 | Alvin Firdaus | 40 |
| 35 | Alwan Syafarilyas L | 30 |


| 36 | Arini Artikasari | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | As'alul Karim | 35 |
| 38 | Billy Milyan P. | 30 |
| 39 | Dava Saputra | 40 |
| 40 | Elsa Murdiatin Putri | 25 |
| 41 | Endar Mahda Hamdani | 40 |
| 42 | Hans Julius Tanto | 35 |
| 43 | Ivan Bagus Karyono | 55 |
| 44 | Krisna Divki Maulana | 45 |
| 45 | Lailatul Jannah Maisaroh | 50 |
| 46 | M. Jundan Kafabih | 50 |
| 47 | Meylany Putri Abdullah | 70 |
| 48 | Moh. Baisuni | 60 |
| 49 | Moh. Khoiril Umam F. | 60 |
| 50 | Muhammad Haykal A. | 55 |
| 51 | Naiza Auria Putri M. | 50 |
| 52 | Naufal Maulana Firdaus | 55 |
| 53 | Novelia Sari Nabila | 40 |
| 54 | Novi Aprilia | 70 |
| 55 | Ramadhani Ibnu Hasan | 60 |
| 56 | Rendi Firmansyah | 45 |
| 57 | Riski Ananda | 50 |
| 58 | Shinta Dwi Agustin | 70 |
| 59 | Sholehatul Islamiyah | 50 |
| 60 | Safarin Noronia | 55 |
| 61 | Shofwan Arif Huzaifi | 50 |
| 62 | Waldi Shohibul Akmal | 55 |
|  | Total | 2.915 |

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the first column is the student number, the second column is the students name and the third column is the score obtained by students doing the pre-test. It is known that the number of students is sixty-two, with a total score is
2.915 before giving the treatment. It its known in the test the lowest value is 25 and the highest value is 70 . The students number one until thirty-one is students of experiment class and students number thirtytwo until sixty-two is students of control class.
b. The presentation of Post-Test

After the researcher knows the students pre-test score, the researcher gave the treatment using religious songs for experimental group and conventional learning for control group, each of the two groups received treatment in three meetings. After being given treatment, the researcher gave a post-test which aims to determine the students score after being given treatment. In the post-test the researcher gave 20 questions. If students can answer correctly they will get a value 5 and if they are wrong they will get a value 0 . If students can answer all the questions correctly they will get a value 100 . Post-test scores will be explained in the table below:

Table 4.2
Result of Post-test score

| No | Name of students | Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Abdil Maula Arifin | 80 |
| 2 | Achmad Faisal | 95 |
| 3 | Afrisda Dwi Maulia A. | 100 |
| 4 | Alan Maulana Ibrahim | 95 |
| 5 | Andi Nurus Setiawan | 100 |
| 6 | Angga Yudha Pratama P. | 100 |
| 7 | Aynani Agustin | 95 |
| 8 | Dani Riski Tri Yunata P. | 100 |
| 9 | Dwi Anggun Puspita | 95 |
| 10 | Eko Setia Khoirul A. | 95 |
| 11 | Haikal | 100 |
| 12 | Haikal Gibran Syahbani | 100 |


| 13 | Irshad Ilahi | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Karta Iskandar | 95 |
| 15 | M. Bhisma Okto Javan | 90 |
| 16 | M. Fajri Alamsyah | 95 |
| 17 | Mely Susantika Dewi | 90 |
| 18 | Moh. Nur Alfian | 85 |
| 19 | Moh. Riyan Qomaruddin | 90 |
| 20 | Moh Naufal Haidar S. | 90 |
| 21 | Nabila Almanova | 95 |
| 22 | Nizar Zakaria Putra | 90 |
| 23 | Noval Agus Ferdiansyah | 95 |
| 24 | R. Agung Ferdiansyah | 90 |
| 25 | Raden Bintang Syahputra | 85 |
| 26 | Rifka Febriani | 90 |
| 27 | Serly Dwi Rahayu | 90 |
| 28 | Sinta Paulista | 85 |
| 29 | Slamet Riyadi | 100 |
| 30 | Verri Yanto | 90 |
| 31 | Zainur Rohman | 95 |
| 32 | Abel Mehola Naya W. | 55 |
| 33 | Achmad Fawaid Azhari | 50 |
| 34 | Alvin Firdaus | 60 |
| 35 | Alwan Syafarilyas L | 55 |
| 36 | Arini Artikasari | 80 |
| 37 | As'alul Karim | 50 |
| 38 | Billy Milyan P. | 55 |
| 39 | Dava Saputra | 55 |
| 40 | Elsa Murdiatin Putri | 65 |
| 41 | Endar Mahda Hamdani | 50 |
| 42 | Hans Julius Tanto | 55 |
| 43 | Ivan Bagus Karyono | 50 |


| 44 | Krisna Divki Maulana | 60 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 45 | Lailatul Jannah Maisaroh | 60 |
| 46 | M. Jundan Kafabih | 55 |
| 47 | Meylany Putri Abdullah | 60 |
| 48 | Moh. Baisuni | 70 |
| 49 | Moh. Khoiril Umam F. | 60 |
| 50 | Muhammad Haykal A. | 60 |
| 51 | Naiza Auria Putri M. | 65 |
| 52 | Naufal Maulana Firdaus | 55 |
| 53 | Novelia Sari Nabila | 60 |
| 54 | Novi Aprilia | 70 |
| 55 | Ramadhani Ibnu Hasan | 65 |
| 56 | Rendi Firmansyah | 60 |
| 57 | Riski Ananda | 70 |
| 58 | Shinta Dwi Agustin | 60 |
| 59 | Sholehatul Islamiyah | 70 |
| 60 | Safarin Noronia | 75 |
| 61 | Shofwan Arif Huzaifi | 60 |
| 62 | Waldi Shohibul Akmal | 55 |
|  | Total | 4.670 |

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the first column is the student number, the second column is the students name and the third column is the score obtained by students doing the post-test. It is known that the number of students is sixty-two, with a total score is 4.670 after giving the treatment. It its known in the test the lowest value is 55 in control group and the highest value is 100 in experiment group. Students number one until students number thirty-one were students from the experimental group who received religious songs treatment and students number thirty-two until sixty-two were the control group students who received treatment conventional learning.
2. Data Presentation of Documentation

As it was written in the previous chapter, Documentation is source of data in the form of images or photos there are use to complete research that is used as additional information in research. the documentation attached to this research is as follows:
a. Picture while in the research process

1. Experiment Group (Multimedia-1)


In the first meeting, the researcher introduced herself, explain about vocabulary and explained the research, and after that distributed pretest questions to the students to find out the students vocabulary mastery before given the religious song treatment.


Researcher provide treatment that is using religious songs. The religious song choosen in this research is a song by Maher Zain
entitled insyaAllah and Peace be upon you. In this treatment, researcher use sound as a loudspeaker. The purpose of using religious songs is because it has meaning that can motivate students to keep trying and never give up. The song can also has a lot vocabulary that students can use to increase the vocabulary mastery for students. By being given treatment, it also aims to provide a more relaxed and pleasant atsmosphere so that students are enthusiastic and can improve their vocabulary mastery. in giving this treatment, the researcher plays a religious song to the students, then the students were instructed to listen and write every vocabulary they got, and after that translate the vocabulary together.


After giving treatment researcher gave a posttest which aims to determine the students vocabulary mastery after being given religious song treatment. Before doing the post-test students were first given a religious song treatment for the last treatment. After listening the religious songs they do the post-test question.
2. Control Group (Multimedia-2)


At the first meeting the researcher introduced her self and explained about vocabulary and explain the research. after that the researcher gave a pre-test to the control class to determine their vocabulary mastery.


In the second meeting, the researcher gave treatment to the control group, namely conventional learning or explaining material for students. Here the researcher provides an introduction material, simple present and pronoun, and conjuction because it is in accordance with the tenth grade Multimedia RPP. After explaining about the introduction material, the researcher gave vocabulary to the students and then translated it. After that provide sentences and vocabulary to be connected with the right sentence.


After giving the pretest and treatment, the researcher gave the posttest to the control group to find out the results of students vocabulary mastery.
b. Students name list

1. The tenth grade of students Multimedia-1 concist 31 students name list as a experimental class of SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

Table 4.3
Name list of students

| No | Name of students as a Experimental <br> group |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Abdil Maula Arifin |
| 2 | Achmad Faisal |
| 3 | Afrisda Dwi Maulia A. |
| 4 | Alan Maulana Ibrahim |
| 5 | Andi Nurus Setiawan |
| 6 | Angga Yudha Pratama P. |
| 7 | Aynani Agustin |
| 8 | Dani Riski Tri Yunata P. |
| 9 | Dwi Anggun Puspita |
| 10 | Eko Setia Khoirul A. |
| 11 | Haikal |
| 12 | Haikal Gibran Syahbani |
|  |  |


| 13 | Irshad Ilahi |
| :---: | :--- |
| 14 | Karta Iskandar |
| 15 | M. Bhisma Okto Javan |
| 16 | M. Fajri Alamsyah |
| 17 | Mely Susantika Dewi |
| 18 | Moh. Nur Alfian |
| 19 | Moh. Riyan Qomaruddin |
| 20 | Moh Naufal Haidar S. |
| 21 | Nabila Almanova |
| 22 | Nizar Zakaria Putra |
| 23 | Noval Agus Ferdiansyah |
| 24 | R. Agung Ferdiansyah |
| 25 | Raden Bintang Syahputra |
| 26 | Rifka Febriani |
| 27 | Serly Dwi Rahayu |
| 28 | Sinta Paulista |
| 29 | Slamet Riyadi |
| 30 | Verri Yanto |
| 31 | Zainur Rohman |
|  |  |

2. The tenth grade of students Multimedia-2 concist 31 students name list as a control class of SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

Table 4.4
Name list of students

| No | Name of students as a control group |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Abel Mehola Naya W. |
| 2 | Achmad Fawaid Azhari |
| 3 | Alvin Firdaus |
| 4 | Alwan Syafarilyas L |
| 5 | Arini Artikasari |


| 6 | As'alul Karim |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Billy Milyan P. |
| 8 | Dava Saputra |
| 9 | Elsa Murdiatin Putri |
| 10 | Endar Mahda Hamdani |
| 11 | Hans Julius Tanto |
| 12 | Ivan Bagus Karyono |
| 13 | Krisna Divki Maulana |
| 14 | Lailatul Jannah Maisaroh |
| 15 | M. Jundan Kafabih |
| 16 | Meylany Putri Abdullah |
| 17 | Moh. Baisuni |
| 18 | Moh. Khoiril Umam F. |
| 19 | Muhammad Haykal A. |
| 20 | Naiza Auria Putri M. |
| 21 | Naufal Maulana Firdaus |
| 22 | Novelia Sari Nabila |
| 23 | Novi Aprilia |
| 24 | Ramadhani Ibnu Hasan |
| 25 | Rendi Firmansyah |
| 26 | Riski Ananda |
| 27 | Shinta Dwi Agustin |
| 28 | Sholehatul Islamiyah |
| 29 | Safarin Noronia |
| 30 | Shofwan Arif Huzaifi |
| 31 | Waldi Shohibul Akmal |

3. Validity of Test

Validity is something that shows the extend of the ability of a measuring instrument. The most commonly used validity that is face
validity, content validity, criterion validity and construct validity ${ }^{1}$. The researcher used content validity to measure students vocabulary mastery. the researcher makes a test that are accordance with the material taught by teacher. So, the test given by the researcher to the students is valid.
a. Validity of pre-test and post-test

To check the validity of the test, the researcher used SPSS 24. The researcher using SPSS to present the result calculating. The researcher will present the data based on the table below:

Table 4.5
r-table significance 5\% "product moment pearson"

|  | Value of r-table |
| :---: | :---: |
| N | 62 |
| $\mathrm{df}-2$ | $62-2=60$ |
| r-table | 0,254 |
| Significance | $5 \%$ |

for the validity test can be seen from the table below:
Table 4.6

## Calculation of Pre-test Score ${ }^{2}$

Based on the table 4.5, the table above is a calculation of the pretest score. To determine the validity of the pre-test question the researcher used a significance level of $5 \%$. The significance level on df 60 is 0,245 . if question item has a r-value higher than r-table the question is valid, but if item question has a r-value lower than $r$-table the question is not valid. In the table of pre-test score there are four

[^0]item question is valid because r -value is higher than r -table and sixteen question item is not valid because $r$-value lower than $r$-table. The valid question item number are as follows: the question item number six valid because $0,340>0,254$, the question item number seven valid because $0,462>0,254$, the question item number eight valid because $0,365>0,254$, the question item number nine valid because $0,308>0,254$, the question item number eleven valid because $0,359>0,254$, the question item number thirteen valid because 0,271 $>0,254$, and the question item number nineteen valid because 0,318 $>0,254$.

Table 4.7

## Calculation of Post-test Score ${ }^{3}$

Based on the table 4.7, the table above is a calculation of the pretest score. To determine the validity of the pre-test question the researcher used a significance level of $5 \%$. The significance level on df 60 is 0,245 . if question item has a r-value higher than $r$-table the question is valid, but if item question has a r-value lower than $r$-table the question is not valid. In the table post-test score there are nineteen question item is valid because r -value is higher than r -table and one question item is not valid because r -value lower than r -table. There valid question item number are as follows: the question item number one is valid because $0,326>0,254$, the question item number two is valid because $0,268>0,254$, the question number three is valid because $0,267>0,254$, the question item number four is valid because $0,297>0,254$, the question item number five is valid because $0,273>$ 0,254 , the question item number seven is valid because $0,320>0,254$, the question item number eight valid because $0,261>0,254$, the question item number nine is valid because $0,354>0,254$, the

[^1]question item number ten is valid because $0,431>0,254$, the question item number eleven is valid because $0,546>0,254$, the question item number twelve is valid because $0,392>0,254$, the question item number thirteen is valid because $0,441>0,254$, the question item number fourteen is valid because $0,442>0,254$, the question item number fifteen is valid because $0,491>0,254$, the question item number sixteen is valid because $0,547>0,254$, the question item number seventeen is valid because $0,462>0,254$, the question item number eighteen is valid because $0,634>0,254$, the question item number nineteen is valid because $0,371>0,254$ and the question item number twenty is valid because $0,584>0,254$.

## 4. Reability of test

Reliability is to find out the extend to which the measurement results remain consistent. The researcher used Spearman Brown formula to know the reliability of the questioner. First, we must know the significance of $r$-table that is:

Table 4.8
r-table significance 5\% "product moment pearson"

|  | Value of r-table |
| :---: | :---: |
| N | 62 |
| $\mathrm{df}-2$ | $62-2=60$ |
| r-table | 0,254 |
| Significance | $5 \%$ |

Researcher used SPSS to measure the reability of test, researcher used SPSS to assist researcher in processing research data. And the results of the research data are as follows:

Table 4.9
Reability of Post-test

## Case Processing Summary

|  |  | N | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cases | Valid | 62 | 100,0 |
|  | Excluded $^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | , 0 |
|  | Total | 62 | 100,0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.
On the table case processing summary, it can be seen in the valid cases column it can be seen that there are 62 respondents with a data percentage of $100 \%$, this shows that 62 respondents are valid and not categorized as exclude.

Table 4.10

| Reliability Statistics |  |  | ,559 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Part 1 | Value |  |
|  |  | N of Items | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Part 2 | Value | ,605 |
|  |  | $N$ of Items | $10^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | Total N of Items |  | 20 |
| Correlation Between Forms |  |  | ,585 |
| Spearman-Brown Coefficient | Equal Length |  | ,738 |
|  | Unequal Length |  | ,738 |
| Guttman Split-Half Coefficient |  |  | ,737 |

a. The items are: $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 3, \mathrm{x} 5, \mathrm{x} 7, \mathrm{x} 9, \mathrm{x} 11, \mathrm{x} 13, \mathrm{x} 15, \mathrm{x} 17, \mathrm{x} 19$.
b. The items are: $\mathrm{y} 2, \mathrm{y} 4, \mathrm{y} 6, \mathrm{y} 8, \mathrm{y} 10, \mathrm{y} 12, \mathrm{y} 14, \mathrm{y} 16, \mathrm{y} 18, \mathrm{y} 20$.

On the statistical reability table in post-test, it its known that the value Correlation between forms is 0,585 . In this reability, the researcher uses the odd-even halves technique. And it is know that the Spearman Brown value is 0,737 . A question item is reliable if $r$-value higher than r-table. It is known that the value of r-table at df 60 with a significance level of $5 \%$ is 0,254 . In the reability statistics above it is
known the Spearman Brown is 0,737 . So $0,737>0,254$. Then the conclusion is the data in post-test is reliable.

Table 4.11

|  |  | Item-To | al Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Scale Mean if Item Deleted | Scale Variance if Item Deleted | Corrected Item- <br> Total Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted |
| x1 | 72,74 | 266,949 | ,253 | ,730 |
| x3 | 72,82 | 271,001 | ,170 | ,736 |
| x5 | 72,90 | 270,122 | ,173 | ,736 |
| x7 | 73,06 | 268,324 | ,182 | ,736 |
| x9 | 72,82 | 265,263 | ,267 | ,729 |
| x11 | 73,15 | 251,831 | ,427 | ,716 |
| x13 | 72,90 | 261,105 | ,321 | ,725 |
| x15 | 73,39 | 250,635 | ,409 | ,717 |
| x17 | 73,55 | 252,776 | ,360 | ,721 |
| x19 | 73,31 | 259,790 | ,283 | ,728 |
| y2 | 72,98 | 270,869 | ,152 | ,738 |
| y4 | 72,66 | 271,080 | ,195 | ,734 |
| y6 | 72,90 | 270,122 | ,173 | ,736 |
| y8 | 72,90 | 271,761 | ,147 | ,738 |
| y10 | 72,98 | 260,213 | ,321 | ,725 |
| y12 | 73,15 | 260,028 | ,299 | ,727 |
| y14 | 73,31 | 258,970 | ,295 | ,727 |
| y16 | 73,47 | 248,843 | ,426 | ,715 |
| y18 | 74,92 | 236,469 | ,551 | ,702 |
| y20 | 73,39 | 245,717 | ,483 | ,710 |

Table 4.12
Reliability of Pre-test

Case Processing Summary

|  |  | N | $\%$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cases | Valid | 62 | 100,0 |
|  | Excluded $^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | , 0 |
|  | Total | 62 | 100,0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

On the table case processing summary in the pre-test, it can be seen in the valid cases column it can be seen that there are 62 respondents with a data percentage of $100 \%$, this shows that 62 respondents are valid and not categorized as exclude.

Table 4.13

## Reliability Statistics

| Cronbach's Alpha | Part 1 | Value | ,251 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  |  | N of Items | $10^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Part 2 | Value | ,$- 034^{\text {b }}$ |
|  |  | N of Items | $10^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | Total N of Items | 20 |  |
| Correlation Between Forms |  | , 168 |  |
| Spearman-Brown Coefficient | Equal Length | , 287 |  |
|  | Unequal Length | , 287 |  |
| Guttman Split-Half Coefficient |  | , 281 |  |

a. The items are: $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 3, \mathrm{x} 5, \mathrm{x} 7, \mathrm{x} 9, \mathrm{x} 11, \mathrm{x} 13, \mathrm{x} 15, \mathrm{x} 17, \mathrm{x} 19$.
b. The value is negative due to a negative average covariance
among items. This violates reliability model assumptions. You may want to check item codings.
c. The items are: $\mathrm{y} 2, \mathrm{y} 4, \mathrm{y} 6, \mathrm{y} 8, \mathrm{y} 10, \mathrm{y} 12, \mathrm{y} 14, \mathrm{y} 16, \mathrm{y} 18, \mathrm{y} 20$.

On the statistical reability table in pre-test, it its known that the value Correlation between forms is 0,168 . In this reability, the researcher uses the odd-even halves technique. And it is know that the

Spearman Brown value is 0,281 . A question item is reliable if $r$-value higher than r-table. It is known that the value of r-table at df 60 with a significance level of $5 \%$ is 0,254 . In the reability statistics above it is known the Spearman Brown is 0,281 . So $0,281>0,254$. Then the conclusion is the data in pre-test is reliable.

Table 4.14

## Item-Total Statistics

| Scale Mean if | Scale Variance | Corrected Item- |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item Deleted | if Item Deleted | Total Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted |


| x1 | 44,61 | 132,077 | -,007 | ,275 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x3 | 44,77 | 130,538 | ,015 | ,269 |
| x5 | 44,85 | 134,093 | -,054 | ,291 |
| x7 | 45,90 | 118,286 | ,218 | ,197 |
| x9 | 45,90 | 126,318 | ,069 | ,252 |
| x11 | 46,87 | 119,491 | ,237 | ,196 |
| x13 | 46,47 | 122,089 | ,159 | ,220 |
| x15 | 44,69 | 126,806 | ,093 | ,244 |
| x17 | 45,02 | 131,000 | -,002 | ,275 |
| x19 | 46,71 | 117,783 | ,259 | ,186 |
| y2 | 44,77 | 133,817 | -,047 | ,288 |
| y4 | 44,92 | 132,370 | -,023 | ,281 |
| y6 | 45,26 | 131,342 | -,014 | ,280 |
| y8 | 45,66 | 116,457 | ,254 | ,183 |
| y10 | 46,39 | 122,733 | ,144 | ,225 |


| $y 12$ | 47,03 | 128,032 | , 074 | , 250 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $y 14$ | 47,92 | 133,813 | , 028 | , 261 |
| $y 16$ | 45,02 | 139,033 | ,- 145 | , 320 |
| $y 18$ | 48,08 | 141,846 | ,- 250 | , 301 |
| $y 20$ | 47,27 | 123,120 | , 203 | , 212 |

4. Data Analysis

After researcher measuring the validity, reliability and correlation, the next step is that researchers need to analyze the scores into statistical data. As a condition for conducting data analysis, researchers must identify whether the data is normal or not and then identify whether the data is homogenity or not. The discussion as follows:

1. Normality test

After the researcher analyzed on SPSS 24 to find out the data was normal or not. The results data are as follows:

Table 4.15
Normality Test Experimental group
One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Unstandardized <br> Residual |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N |  | 31 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | , 0000000 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 4,59419924 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | , 151 |
|  | Positive | , 134 |
|  | Negative | ,- 151 |
| Test Statistic |  | , 151 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | , $070^{\text {c }}$ |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.
c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

In the decision to test for normality is if the value of $\operatorname{Sig}$ (2-tailed) is higher than 0,05 then the data is normal. In this research, the researcher used the Kolmogrov Sminov test whose value of Sig (2tailed) is 0,070 so, $0,070>0,05$ and the data normally.

Table 4.16
Normality test of control group

## One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

|  |  | Unstandardized <br> Residual |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| N |  | 31 |
| Normal Parameters ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | Mean | , 0000000 |
|  | Std. Deviation | 7,62286882 |
| Most Extreme Differences | Absolute | , 133 |
|  | Positive | , 133 |
|  | Negative | ,- 067 |
| Test Statistic |  | , 133 |
| Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) |  | , $172^{\text {c }}$ |

a. Test distribution is Normal.
b. Calculated from data.
c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

In the decision to test for normality is if the value of $\operatorname{Sig}$ (2-tailed) is higher than 0,05 then the data is normal. In this research, the researcher used the Kolmogrov Sminov test whose value of Sig (2tailed) is 0,172 so, $0,172>0,05$ and the data normally.

## 2. Homogenity test

After the researcher determines the normality of the data, the next step is the researcher analyzed the data to find out whether the data is homogeneous or not. The results data are as follows:

Table 4.17
Homogenity test Pre-test

## Test of Homogeneity of Variances

| Levene Statistic | df1 | df2 | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,475 | 1 | 60 | ,067 |

In the decision to test homogeneity is if the value of Sig (2-tailed) higher than 0,05 the data is homogen. In this research the value of Sig (2-tailed) is $0,067 \mathrm{So}, 0,067>0,05$ and the data is homogen.

Table 4.18
Homogenity test Post-test

## Test of Homogeneity of Variances



In the decision to test homogeneity is if the value of Sig (2-tailed) higher than 0,05 the data is homogen. In this research the value of Sig (2-tailed) is $0,227 \mathrm{So}, 0,227>0,05$ and the data is homogen.

## 3. Independent t-test

Because the data obtained were normal and homogeneous, the researchers used independen t-test to analyze the scores of post-test from the results of the MM-1 students score who were given religious song treatment and the results of the MM-2 students score who were given conventional learning treatment. But before the researcher tested the independen t -test first, the researcher makes a hypothesis testing.

## a. Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis is a statement about a population that is still must be verified. Hypothesis is a statement in research that intends to make predictions about outcome of the relationship between attributes or characteristic. ${ }^{4}$ There are two type of hypothesis namely Alternative hypothesis (Ha) and Null Hypothesis (Ho). In testing the hypothesis, the researcher used an independent sample t-test with the aim of finding significant differences in the vocabulary mastery of students who learn using religious songs and between students who learn conventional method. The hypothesis are follows:

Ho : there is no any significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia, who study using religious songs and who study not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

Ha : there is any significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia, who study using religious songs and who study not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

The decision in the independent t -test is if the value of $\operatorname{sig}(2-$ tailed) $<0,05$ then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted. And if the $\mathrm{t}-$ value is higher than t -table then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted.
b. Presentation data of independen sample t-test

Table 4.19
Group Statistics

|  | kelas | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hasil Penguasaan | kelas eksperimen | 31 | 93.0645 | 5.27216 | .94691 |
| Kosakata | kelas control | 31 | 60.3226 | 7.52058 | 1.35074 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Based on table 4.19 above, it is known that the mean value of the experiment class is 93.0645 and the mean value of control class is 60.3226 , the mean value of the experimental class is higher than mean value of control class. It can be concluded that the difference in vocabulary mastery between the tenth grade Multimedia students of SMKN 3 Pamekasan who study using religious songs and those who do not study using religious songs, which can be seen from the difference the mean of each group after being given treatment.

Table 4.20

Independent Samples Test

|  |  | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2taile d) |  <br>  <br> Mean <br> Differe <br> nce | Std. <br> Error <br> Diffe <br> renc <br> e | 95\% <br> Confidence Interval of the <br> Difference |  |
|  |  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  | Up per |
| Hasil <br> Penguasaan <br> Kosakata | Equal <br> variances assumed |  | 1.490 | . 227 | $19.849$ | $60$ | $.000$ | $\left.\begin{array}{r} 32.741 \\ 94 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.64 \\ 958 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.442 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | 36 04 15 9 |
|  | Equal <br> variances <br> not assumed |  |  | 19.849 | 53.751 | . 000 | $\begin{array}{\|r} 32.741 \\ 94 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.64 \\ & 958 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.434 \\ 37 \end{array}$ | 36 04 95 0 |

Based on table 4.20 above, It based on the independent t-test because the data results of sig (2-tailed) is 0,00 . Whereas in the
independent t -test, if value of $\operatorname{sig}$ (2-tailed) < than $0,05 \mathrm{so},(\mathrm{Ho})$ is rejected and (Ha) is accepted. In this research the value of sig (2-tailed) is $0,00<0,05$ so (Ha) is accepted, it can be conclude there is any significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia, who study using religious songs and who study do not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

Based on equal variances assumed t-value is 19.849 , if df (degree of freedom) is 60 in sig $5 \%$, then the value of $t$-table is 2,000 . So, 19.849 $>2,000$ so, there is strong significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia, who study using religious songs and who study not using religious songs, it can be conclude students who study using religious songs are more effective than students who study do not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

After conducting research in the tenth grade multimedia of SMKN
3 PAMEKASAN by applying the using of religious songs to vocabulary mastery students, there were achievements resulting from the use of religious songs including:

1. Students learning atsmosphere is more comfortable and not rigid (students find it easier to express the vocabulary they get)
2. Students desire to learn English is increasing and they are no longer afraid to learn English.
3. Students vocabulary mastery can increase with the vocabulary contained in religious songs.
4. Students can easily remember each vocabulary by giving religious songs treatment.
5. The learning process is more fun because students can competence to translate every vocabulary in religious songs.
6. The vocabulary in maher zain religious songs has vocabulary that is easy to understand and can be used by students to communicate and enrich their vocabulary.
7. The moral messages in religious songs can be used by students for reflection to become better students.

## B. Discussion of Finding

There are two research problem in this research as follows:

1. Is there any significant difference in the vocabulary mastery between the tenth grade multimedia students of SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN who study using religious songs and those who do not. Based on the data that has been statistically analyzed by the researcher, it is found that there is a significant difference between students taught using songs to vocabulary mastery and between students were not using songs. It based on the independent t -test because the data results of sig (2-tailed) is 0,00 . Whereas in the independent t -test, if value of sig (2-tailed) $<$ than $0,05 \mathrm{so},(\mathrm{Ho})$ is rejected and $(\mathrm{Ha})$ is accepted. In this research the value of $\operatorname{sig}$ (2-tailed) is $0,00<0,05$ so (Ha) is accepted.

Ha : there is any significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia, who study using religious songs and who study not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.

Based on the independent $t$-test data obtained the $t$-value is 19.849 if df (degree of freedom) is 60 in sig $5 \%$, then the value of $t$-table is 2,000 . So, $19.849>2,000$ so, there is strong significant differences in vocabulary mastery of tenth grade Multimedia who study using religious songs and who study not using religious songs, it can be conclude students who study using religious songs are more effective than students who study do not using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.
2. The results of average difference in vocabulary mastery between tenth grade multimedia students, who study vocabulary using religious songs and those who do not study using religious songs in SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN. The difference in vocabulary mastery students tenth grade Multimedia who study using religious songs and those who do not using religious songs can be seen based on the mean results in experimental class and control class, the mean value of experimental class is 93.0645 and the mean value of control class is 60.3226 . the mean value of the experimental class is higher than mean value of
control class it can be concluded that the using religious songs is effective to vocabulary mastery to tenth grade Multimedia students at SMKN 3 PAMEKASAN.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ir. Syofian siregar, M.M, metode penelitian kuantitatif dilengkapi dengan perbandingan Perhitungan Manual \& SPSS, (Jakarta:prenadamedia group,2013),46.
    ${ }^{2}$ See on Appendix

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See on Appendix

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ John W Creswell, Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and QualitativeResearch,111.

