## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter tells and discusses the statistical result based on the intruments that are used in conducting the research. The data is presented which are presentation of data, hypothesis testing and measurement of validity, reliability of the test and discussion of finding.

## A. Presentation of Data

This research was conducted at MA AZ-Zubair's school, a new source of Pamekasan on October 27 to November 8, 2022. The research activities were conducted on MA AZ-Zubair students to obtain important data that could help in resolving research "improve the vocabulary English students using Guess Picture of 10th grade MA Az-Zubair Sumber Anyar Tlanakan Pamekasan". The researcher raised the title of this study based on the problem that appeared in the school. After collecting the data that the researcher needs, the next step is to present the data.

After calculating all the data during the research process as a result, the researcher must present the data to find out the comparison of the two variables containing the independent and dependent variables. Researchers used pre-test and post-test as instruments in collecting data. Data is described as data obtained by researchers during the research process.

## 1. The Presentation on Pre-Test Scores

The researcher got the data by distributing the test to students $10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{MA} \mathrm{Az-}$ Zubair Tlanakan Pamekasan. The researcher was held on October, 27 2022, at 09.00 pmup to October 2022. The students test scores are displayed in the table below.

Tabel 4.1
Result of Pre-test Score

| No. | Nama | Nilai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Adhi Riyadi | 70 |


| 2. | Adhi Ersyadi | 35 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 3. | Imron | 30 |
| 4. | Maulia Arwyatul Laili | 65 |
| 5. | Moh. Ansori Syaied | 30 |
| 6. | Moh. Sufyan al-Hasan | 35 |
| 7. | Mufarrohah | 40 |
| 8. | Nayla Nurul Hidayah | 45 |
| 9. | Nurul Jihan | 45 |
| 10. | Nurfia Afdilani Wailah | 30 |
| 11. | Warda Mustika Ratu | 60 |
| 12. | Zainal Arifin | 35 |
|  |  | 510 |

Based on the table above, it can be known that there are twelve students. The first column is the number of the students, the second column is the table of p re test scores. It is found that the total t-test score of 490 scores. In this pre-test, the highest score of all items are 100 score, but the result of students answer of the pre-test is lower than 100 . The highest score is 70 and the lowest score is a 30 of total members are 12 members.

## 2. The Presentation of Treatment

For the next meeting the research give a treatment implemented guess image twice meeting. The first meeting $27^{\text {th }}$ of October 2022 at 09.00 pm and the second meeting is done on Wednesday November 9. The first treatment The steps that will be used by researchers in the Guessing Game to improve children's language development are:
a. The teacher uses pictures according to the material
b. The teacher shows the picture too the student in front of the class
c. The teacher explans the listen the guess image
d. The teacher direct student attention to a temporary image
e. Ask student question one at a time
f. The teacher gives assigments to student

## 3. The Presentation of Post-test

Following the researcher's treatment of mind mapping technique, the researcher conducted a post-test in writing essay testing to gather the score after treatment, which is shown in the table below:

Table 4.2
Result of Post-test Score

| No. | Nama | Nilai |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Adhi Riyadi | 40 |
| 2. | Adhi Ersyadi | 25 |
| 3. | Imron | 40 |
| 4. | Maulia Arwyatul Laili | 50 |
| 5. | Moh. Ansori Syaied | 25 |
| 6. | Moh. Sufyan al-Hasan | 40 |
| 7. | Mufarrohah | 55 |
| 8. | Nayla Nurul Hidayah | 20 |
| 9. | Nurfia Afdilani Wailah | 40 |
| 10. | Nurul Jihan | 30 |
| 11. | Warda Mustika Ratu | 30 |
| 12. | Zainal Arifin | 45 |


| SUM | 530 |
| :---: | :---: |

Based on the table above, it can be known that there are twelve students. The first colums is the number of the students. The second colums is the name of students, the third colums is the table of post-tset scores. It is found that the total t-test score of students is 530 scores after the researcher give a treatment. From the table above, there are many various scores of twelve stundents. Who get scores above 50 are 2 students, it is called good. And students who get scores under 50 are 10 students. It is called weak in vocabulary mastery.

Tabel 4.3
Results of Pre-test dan Post-test

| No. | Nama | Pre-test | Post-test |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Adhi Riyadi | 70 | 40 |
| 2. | Adhi Ersyadi | 35 | 25 |
| 3. | Imron | 30 | 40 |
| 4. | Maulia Arwyatul Laili | 65 | 50 |
| 5. | Moh. Ansori Syaied | 30 | 25 |
| 6. | Moh. Sufyan al-Hasan | 35 | 40 |
| 7. | Mufarrohah | 40 | 55 |
| 8. | Nayla Nurul Hidayah | 45 | 20 |
| 9. | Nurfia Afdilani Wailah | 30 | 40 |
| 10. | Nurul Jihan | 45 | 30 |
| 11. | Warda Mustika Ratu | 60 | 30 |
| 12. | Zainal Arifin | 35 | 45 |


| SUM | 510 | 530 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 4. Validity and Reliability

a. Validity

Validity is standart or basic measure that shows appropriateness, usefulness and validity which leads to the accuracy of the interpretation of an evaluation procedure in accordance with the purpose of its measurement. This researcher uses content validity, where the content validity is appropriate and relevant to the research objectives. In short the researcher creates test that contains vocabulary test. The vocabulary has been taught in the treatment.

## b. Reliability

In checking the realibility of the instrument used at this research, the researcher uses KR.21. If the result of coefficient reliability shows the positive significance, the instrument is reliable. The table below is the result of reliability of the test:

Table 4.4
Analiysis of reliability of pre-test score

| NO | SCORE OF EACH ITEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | XI | X2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 196 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 49 |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 39 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 169 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 64 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 81 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 81 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 144 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 49 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 3 | 977 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M} & =\frac{\sum X}{n} \\
& =\frac{103}{20} \\
& =5,15 \\
\mathrm{r}_{11} & =\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{M(k-M)}{k v_{t}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{20}{20-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{5,15(20-5,15)}{20 \cdot 8,44}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{20}{19}\right)\left(1-\frac{5,15(20-5,15)}{168,8}\right) \\
& =2,58
\end{aligned}
$$

After comparing between R11, which is 2.58 with R Table, which is 0.553 , the pre-test can be concluded that the researcher made it reliable.

Table 4.5
Analiysis of reliability of post-test score

| NO | SCORE OF EACH ITEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | XI | X2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |  |  |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 64 |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 64 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 100 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 25 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 49 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 121 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 16 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 64 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 36 |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 81 |
| $\Sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 681 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M} & =\frac{\sum X}{n} \\
& =\frac{87}{20} \\
& =4,35
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{11} & =\left(\frac{k}{k-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{M(k-M)}{k v_{t}}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{20}{20-1}\right)\left(1-\frac{4,35(20-4,35)}{20 \cdot 4,57}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{20}{19}\right)\left(1-\frac{4,35(15.65)}{91,4}\right) \\
& =0,231
\end{aligned}
$$

After comparing between R11, which is 0,231 with R Table, which is 0.684 , the pre-test can be concluded that the researcher made it reliable.

## B. Data Analysis

Researcher needs to analyze the score to get the statistical form because this study are pre-test and post-test. The researchers would then wish to assess the data before testing hypotheses in order to determine the outcome of this study. The data was analyzed using a paired sample t-test, which included two test instrument outcomes, namely pre-test and post-test. Calculation of dependent t-test is formed by considering the table as follow:

Table 4.6
The Calculation of Paired Sample t-test (Pre-test and Posttest)

| NO. | NAME | WRITING ESSAY |  | $\mathbf{D}=$ | $\boldsymbol{D}^{2}=$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pre-test | Post-test | $(\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y})$ | $(\boldsymbol{X}-\boldsymbol{Y})^{2}$ |
| 1. | Adhi riyadi | 70 | 40 | -30 | 900 |
| 2. | Adhi ersyadi | 35 | 25 | -10 | 100 |


| 3. | Imron | 30 | 40 | -10 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Maulia arwiyatul L. | 65 | 50 | -15 | 225 |
| 5. | Moh. ansori S. | 30 | 25 | -5 | 25 |
| 6. | Moh. sufyan al. | 35 | 40 | 5 | 25 |
| 7. | Mufarrohah | 40 | 55 | 15 | 225 |
| 8. | Nayla nurul H. | 45 | 20 | -25 | 625 |
| 9. | Nurfia afdilani W. | 30 | 40 | -10 | 100 |
| 10. | Nurul jihan | 45 | 30 | -15 | 225 |
| 11. | Warda mustika r. | 60 | 30 | -30 | 900 |
| 12. | Zainal arifin | 35 | 45 | 10 | 100 |
|  | N= 12 | $\sum X_{1}=$ | $\sum X_{2}=$ | $\sum D=$ | $\sum D^{2}=$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 5 0}$ |

Based on the results above, the computation dependent t-test is administrated as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=12 \\
& \sum D_{=}=-180 \\
& \sum{D^{2}}_{=} 3550 \\
& \sum X_{1}=510 \\
& \sum X_{2}=530
\end{aligned}
$$

The counting steps $t$-test are as follow:
a. Looking for the mean from the difference of pre-test and post-test (MD), by formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{D} & =\frac{\sum D}{N} \\
M D & =\frac{-180}{12} \\
& =-15
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Determining Standard Deviation form D by formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S D_{D}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum D^{2}}{N}-\left(\frac{\sum D}{N}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{3550}{12}-\left(\frac{-180}{12}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{295,8-(-15)^{2}} \\
& =\sqrt{295,8-225} \\
& =\sqrt{70,8} \\
& =8,4143
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Determining Mean of Difference by formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SE} m_{d} & =\frac{\mathrm{SD}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{D}}} \\
& =\frac{8,4143}{\sqrt{12-1}} \\
& =\frac{8,4143}{\sqrt{11}} \\
& =\frac{8,4143}{3,3166}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=2,5370
$$

d. Determining $t_{0}$ by formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{0} & =\frac{M_{D}}{S E M_{D}} \\
& =\frac{-15}{2,5370} \\
& =-5,9124
\end{aligned}
$$

The researcher discoverst $t_{0}=-5,9124(>2,201)$, based on the depedent $\mathrm{t}-$ test calculation above. To know if the null hypothesis is rejected or accepted. The hypothesis testing method must be compleceted by the researcher.

## C. Hypothesis Testing

After finishing the analysis the data, we need to know wether Ha or Ho is accepted. Hyphoteses are quantitative research statements where the researcherpredicts the result of a relationship betweentraits or features. Two types of hypotheses exist, null hypotheses, and alternative hypotheses. Hypothesisis important things in quantitative research because hypotheses make the result of the research and determine the hypotheses is null hypotheses or alternative hypotheses easily there is a correlation between dependent variable and independent variable or not. The test of the significance of correlation between two variable following the criteria :

1. If the result of this research $>2,201$ it means not significance, alternative hyphotesis is rejected and null hypothesis will be accepted.
2. If the result of this research < 2,201 it means significance, alternative hypothesis is accepted and null hypothesis will be rejected.

The researcher can conclude that value of this stastitical significant is $-5,912$ $>2,201$. It means that the alternative hipotesis is accepted and null hipotesis is rejected. So that researchers know that there is difference on the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade vocabulary mastery after use guess image in MA Az-Zubair Sumber Anyar Tlanakan Pamekasan.

## D. Discussion

This section contains the discussion of research. This research was conducted on students at MA Az-Zubair. This research was conducted in grade 10. This class consisted of 12 students. The material taught for this research is vocabulary mastery using guess image. The pretest was given at the first meeting and the posttest was given at the last meeting. The researcher also gave treatment in the second week twice before the post-test was given. The goal, the researchers wanted to know the development of students before and after using the guess image technique in effectiveness students' English vocabulary.

In this section, the researcher tries to describe the students' progress towards the technique using guess image. Based on data exposure and hypothesis testing, there is no difference between before and after using guess image. Researchers can see from the students' scores between the pre-test and post-test. In the pre-test the highest score was 70 and the lowest score was 30 . Meanwhile, in the post-test the highest score was 55 and the lowest score was 20 , which were obtained by only two students. They found it difficult to memorize vocabulary. This researcher used guess image to help enhancing students’ English vocabulary.

In this section, researcher will explain the result of finding to explanation about there is no difference in students use guess image with those who do not guess image. Reseachers use $t$ value to get value statistical significance -5,912 and that value more than 2,201. It means that the interpretation of this research is there is difference.

According to Dian Ratna the picture guessing game is a universal game, which is carried out by a group of people where one member of the group becomes the draftsman and the other members guess the picture from the card shown by the instructor. Guess image is not just playing, but in this game student can also learn, that direct practical learning with experimental media gives the impression of children's enthusiasm to learn while playing fun. So that this picture guessing game can shape the character aspects of language development in increasing student vocabulary.

